**Supplement material 3**

Table T3 – Sensitivity analysis for normative need; model: enter (Block I), forward selection (Block II)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Predictor | Regression coefficient *Ba* | *Pb* | 95% Confidence interval |
| Block Ic |  |  |  |
| Genderd | .08 | .572 | [-0.19;0.35] |
| Age | .01 | .572 | [-0.01;0.01] |
| Education (years) | .05 | **.020** | [0.01;0.10] |
| Block IIe |  |  |  |
| Subjective care burdenf | .08 | **<.001** | [0.06;0.10] |
| Relationship quality actual (positive) | -.78 | **<.001** | [-1.02;-0.53] |
| Coresidence (yes) | -.51 | **<.001** | [-0.76;-0.26] |
| Informal help wish (yes)g | .51 | **<.001** | [0.26;0.76] |
| Benefitsh | -.02 | **<.001** | [-0.03;-0.01] |

*Note*. *N*=958.

aStandardized regression coefficient

b*p*<.05 printed in bold letters

cAdjustment variables related only to caregivers

dDichotomous variable: female=0, male=1

eFinal regression model: corrected *R*2=.24, *F*(8, 948)=37.72, *p*<.001; 6 steps

fMeasured with the BSFC-s, range 0-30

gDichotomous variable: CG would like to have more support in caregiving from friends or family

hMeasured with the BBCS, range 0 – 56